

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
Richmond Division**

---

DARLENE GIBBS, *et al.*, *on behalf of themselves  
and all individuals similarly situated*

Plaintiffs,

v.

Civil Action No. 3:18-cv-676 (MHL)

MIKE STINSON, *et al.*,

Defendants.

---

DARLENE GIBBS, *et al.*, *on behalf of  
themselves and all individuals similarly  
situated,*

Plaintiffs,

v.

Civil Action No: 3:20-cv-632 (MHL)

ELEVATE CREDIT, INC.,

Defendant.

---

**JUDGMENT ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL**

Plaintiffs Darlene Gibbs, Stephanie Edwards, Lula Williams, Patrick Inscho, Lawrence Mwethuku, George Hengle, Tamara Price, Sharon Burney, Chastity McNeil, Kimetra Brice, Earl Browne, Jill Novorot, and Alicia Patterson (“Plaintiffs”), for themselves and the Settlement Class Members, have submitted to the Court a Motion for Final Approval of the Class Action Settlement (“Final Approval Motion”).

This Court has reviewed the papers filed in support of the Final Approval Motion, including the Settlement Agreement filed with Plaintiffs’ Preliminary Approval Motion, the memoranda and arguments submitted on behalf of the Settlement Class, and all supporting exhibits and declarations

thereto, as well as the Court's Preliminary Approval Order. The Court held a Final Fairness Hearing on August 16, 2022, at which time the Parties and other interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard in support of and in opposition to the proposed settlement. Based on the papers filed with the Court and the presentations made at the Final Fairness Hearing, the Court finds that the Settlement Agreement is fair, adequate, and reasonable. Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** as follows:

1. This Final Approval Order incorporates herein and makes a part hereof the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order. Unless otherwise provided herein, the capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meanings and/or definitions given to them in the Preliminary Approval Order and Settlement Agreement.

2. This Court has jurisdiction over matters relating to the Settlement, including, without limitation, the administration, interpretation, effectuation and/or enforcement of the Settlement, the Settlement Agreement, and this Final Order and Judgment.

**CERTIFICATION OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS AND  
APPOINTMENT OF CLASS COUNSEL AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVES**

3. In the Preliminary Approval Order, this Court previously certified, for settlement purposes only, a Settlement Class defined as follows:

All persons within the United States to whom Great Plains has lent money; all persons within the United States to whom Plain Green lent money prior to June 1, 2016; and all persons within the United States to whom MobiLoans lent money prior to May 6, 2017.

Excluded from the Class are the Judge presiding over the Actions and the Court staff, as well as those Class Members who opt out from the Settlement pursuant to the procedures set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

4. Certification of the Settlement Class is hereby reaffirmed as a final Settlement Class pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23. For the reasons set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order, this

Court finds, on the record before it, that the Action, for purposes of this Settlement, may be maintained as a class action on behalf of the Settlement Class.

5. In the Preliminary Approval Order, this Court previously appointed the above-identified Plaintiffs Darlene Gibbs, Stephanie Edwards, Lula Williams, Patrick Inscho, Lawrence Mwethuku, George Hengle, Tamara Price, Sharon Burney, Chastity McNeil, Kimetra Brice, Earl Browne, Jill Novorot, and Alicia Patterson (“Plaintiffs”) as Class Representatives and hereby reaffirms that appointment, finding, on the record before it, that the Class Representatives have and continue to adequately represent Settlement Class Members.

6. In the Preliminary Approval Order, this Court previously appointed the law firms of Kelly Guzzo, PLC, Consumer Litigation Associates, P.C., and Tycko & Zavareei, LLP, as Class Counsel for settlement purposes only and hereby reaffirms that appointment, finding, on the record before it, that Class Counsel have and continue to adequately and fairly represent Settlement Class Members.

#### **CLASS NOTICE**

7. The record shows, and the Court finds, that Class Notice has been given to the Settlement Class in the manner approved by the Court in its Preliminary Approval Order. The Court finds that such Class Notice constitutes: (i) the best notice practicable to the Settlement Class under the circumstances; (ii) notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise the Settlement Class of the pendency of the Action and the terms of the Settlement Agreement, their rights to exclude themselves from the Settlement or to object to any part of the Settlement, their rights to appear at the Final Fairness Hearing (either on their own or through counsel hired at their own expense), and the binding effect of the Final Order and Judgment, whether favorable or unfavorable, on all persons who do not exclude themselves from the

Settlement Class; (iii) due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons or entities entitled to receive notice; and (iv) notice that fully satisfies the requirements of the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(c)(2)(B) and 23(e)(1), and any other applicable law.

8. Due and adequate notice of the proceedings having been given to the Settlement Class and a full opportunity having been offered to Settlement Class Members to participate in the Final Fairness Hearing, it is hereby determined that all Settlement Class Members, except those who timely opted out, as identified in Exhibit A to this Final Approval Order, are bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment. No Settlement Class Members, other than those listed in Exhibit A, are excluded from the Settlement Class, from the terms of the Settlement Agreement, or from the effect of this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

#### **FINAL APPROVAL OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

9. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e), the Court hereby finally approves in all respects the Settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds that the Settlement, the Settlement Agreement, the benefits to the Settlement Class Members, and all other parts of the Settlement are, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate, and in the best interest of the Settlement Class, within a range that responsible and experienced attorneys could accept considering all relevant risks and factors and the relative merits of Plaintiffs' claims and any defenses of the Defendants, and are in full compliance with all applicable requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Due Process Clause, and the Class Action Fairness Act. Accordingly, the Settlement shall be consummated in accordance with the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement, with each Settlement Class Member bound by the Settlement Agreement, including any releases therein.

10. Specifically, the Court finds that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate given the following factors, among other things:

- a. The Action was complex and time consuming and would have continued to be so if the Parties had not settled;
- b. Class Counsel had a well-informed appreciation of the strengths and weaknesses of the Action while negotiating the Settlement;
- c. The monetary relief provided for by the Settlement is well within the range of reasonableness in light of the best possible recovery and the risks the Parties would have faced if the case had continued to verdicts as to jurisdiction, liability, and damages;
- d. The Settlement was the result of arm's-length good faith negotiations and exchange of information by experienced counsel;
- e. The reaction of the class to the Settlement has been positive, with only eighteen consumers timely requesting to opt out and no objections to the Settlement.<sup>1</sup>

11. Accordingly, the Settlement shall be consummated in accordance with the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement.

**PAYMENT OF MONETARY CONSIDERATION  
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF TO THE CLASS**

12. The Court approves the Parties' plan to distribute the Cash Awards provided for by the Settlement Agreement to Settlement Class Members as set forth in the Settlement Agreement (which shall control in the event of any alleged inconsistency with this Final Approval Order):

- a. As set forth in the Settlement Agreement, the Defendants will pay \$44,530,000.00 (the "Monetary Consideration") (each Defendants' respective amount as

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court received one objection, but it was later withdrawn. *See* ECF Nos. 330-31.

well as the timing of such payments is set forth in the Settlement Agreement) into the Escrow Account for distribution to the Class Members according to the tiered formula in the Settlement Agreement.

b. Defendant Elevate will not provide any services to Think Finance or its related entities, including but not limited to any services similar to the Data Sharing and Support Agreement, the Employee Matters Agreement, and the Shared Services Agreement.

13. The relief provided by the Settlement is significant. Many consumers will receive a financial benefit in the form of a cash payment.

#### **DISMISSAL OF CLAIMS AND RELEASES**

14. This Court hereby dismisses with prejudice on the merits and without costs or attorneys' fees (except as otherwise provided in the Agreement) the above-captioned action (subject to retention of jurisdiction to enforce the Agreement and the Settlement).

15. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, as of the Effective Date of this Settlement and upon payment of all Monetary Consideration set forth in the Settlement Agreement, the following releases shall be effective:

a. Upon the Effective Date, each Named Plaintiff and each Settlement Class Member, on behalf of themselves and their respective heirs, officers, directors, agents, employees, attorneys, successors, affiliates, and assigns, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully released and forever discharged Elevate, SCV, John Dean, Robert Rees, Amy Young, Linda Stinson, Michael Stinson, Hannah S. Head, Molly M. West, Tod A. Stinson, Tyler W.K. Head, Jeff S. West, Jill Stinson, Corbett Capital, LLC, and Buckaroo Art, LLC, The Tyler W.K. Head Trust dated March 20, 2014,

the Linda and Mike Stinson Irrevocable Asset Trust dated December 2, 2009, the Stinson 2009 Grantor Retained Annuity Trust, Startup Capital Ventures, L.P., Startup Capital Ventures Management, LLC, Startup Capital Ventures LLC, Stephen J. Shaper, Sue Shaper, Shaper Family Partnership No. 1, Ltd., the Stephen and Sue Shaper Descendants Trust U/T/A Dated Dec 7, 2012, ShaperGP, LLC, Middlemarch Capital Corporation, 7HBF No. 2, Ltd., 7HBF, Ltd., 7HBF Management Co., Ltd., John H. Harvison, John D. Harvison, Jason Harvison, Associated Properties-GP, LLC, HCT #2, LP, John H. Harvison, John H. Harvison Dynasty Trust, Glenda Sue Harvison, Glenda Sue Harvison Dynasty Trust, Jove Investments, Ltd., Harvison Family Irrevocable Trust, Randall W. Harvison, Randall W. Harvison Dynasty Trust, Kay L. Parker, Kay L. Parker Dynasty Trust, Michael G. Harvison, Michael G. Harvison Dynasty Trust, Hope E. Harvison Anthony, Hope Harvison Anthony Dynasty Trust, Hollie B. Harvison, Hollie B. Harvison Dynasty Trust, Stacie Woodcock, together with each of their respective current and former officers, directors, general partners, limited partners, managers, members, agents, employees, attorneys, successors, affiliates, parents, subsidiaries, heirs, beneficiaries, and assigns (collectively, the "Released Parties"), from any and all claims that were brought or could have been brought in the Actions, and from any and all claims relating to or arising from, in any way, the operations of Think Finance, whether arising under local, state, tribal or federal law (including, without limitation, under any consumer protection or unfair and deceptive practices laws), whether by constitution, statute, contract, common law, or equity, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, asserted or unasserted, foreseen or unforeseen, actual or contingent, liquidated or unliquidated the ("Released Claims"). The "Released Parties" shall not include L. Stephen Haynes, Sovereign Business

Solutions, and Haynes Investments, LLC.

b. Without limiting the foregoing, the claims released above as to the Released Parties specifically include claims that the Named Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Members (or any of them) do not know or suspect to exist in their favor at the time that the Settlement, and the releases contained in this Agreement, become effective. The Named Plaintiffs acknowledge that they each have read, understand, and waive, on behalf of themselves and the Settlement Class Members, all rights and benefits of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code (or any other statute or legal doctrine limiting the effectiveness of releases), which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Named Plaintiffs understand and acknowledge, and the Settlement Class Members shall be deemed to understand and acknowledge, the significance of this waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 and any other applicable law relating to limitations on releases. In connection with such waiver and relinquishment, Named Plaintiffs acknowledge on behalf of themselves and the Settlement Class Members that they are aware that they may hereafter discover facts in addition to, or different from, those facts which they now know or believe to be true with respect to the subject matter of the Settlement, but that it is their intention to release fully, finally and forever all Released Claims against the Released Parties, and in furtherance of such intention, the release of the Released Claims shall remain in effect notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different facts.



## **AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS AND SERVICE AWARDS**

16. At the conclusion of a successful class action, class counsel may apply to a court for an award of attorneys' fees. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(h). Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement Class Counsel may request reasonable attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs to be paid from the Monetary Consideration, provided that the total amount of such request does not exceed one-third of the Monetary Consideration provided under the Settlement Agreement.

17. Because this is a common-fund case, it is appropriate to employ a percentage of the fund method for calculating a proper fee award. When a representative party confers a substantial benefit upon a class, counsel is entitled to attorneys' fees based on the benefit obtained. *Boeing Co. v. Van Gemert*, 444 U.S. 472, 478 (1980); *see, e.g., Milbourne v. JRK Residential America, LLC*, No. 3:12-cv-861-REP (ED. Va. Jan. 4, 2017) (Doc. 324); *Mayfield v. Membertrust Credit Union*, 3:07-cv-506-REP (E.D. Va. Nov. 7, 2008) (Doc. 22); *Conley v. First Tennessee*, 1:10-cv-1247-JFA (E.D. Va. Aug. 18, 2011) (Doc. 37); *Lengrand v. Wellpoint*, No. 3:11-cv-333-HEH (E.D. Va. Nov. 13, 2012) (Doc. 42).

18. No Class Member or Government entity has objected to Class Counsel's request.

19. The Court, having reviewed the declarations, exhibits, and points and authorities submitted in support of and opposition to Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs, approves the award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs to Class Counsel in the amount of \$8,293,333.33 ("Fee and Expense Award"). The Court finds that the Fee and Expense Award is reasonable and appropriate under all of the circumstances presented.

20. The Settlement Agreement also provides that Plaintiffs may request a Service Award to be paid from the Monetary Consideration, provided such awards do not exceed \$20,000.00 to each Class Representative.

21. Courts routinely grant service awards to compensate named plaintiffs for the services they provided and the risks they incurred during the course of class action litigation. *See, e.g., Manuel v. Wells Fargo Bank*, No. 3:14-cv-238 (DJN), 2016 WL 1070819, at \*6 (E.D. Va. Mar. 15, 2016) (explaining that service awards are “intended to compensate class representatives for work done on behalf of the class, to make up for financial or reputational risk undertaken in bringing the action, and, sometimes, to recognize their willingness to act as a private attorney general”). Here, the Court finds that the requested Service Awards are reasonable and within the range of awards granted by courts in this and other circuits. *See, e.g., id.* (approving \$10,000 service award); *Ryals, Jr. v. HireRight Solutions, Inc.*, No. 3:09-cv-625 (JAG) (E.D. Va. Dec. 22, 2011) (awarding \$10,000 service awards to each class representative). Moreover, these Service Awards are justified by the time and effort expended by the Class Representatives on behalf of the Settlement Class Members and the risk each assumed in bringing this action. Accordingly, the Court finds that Class Representatives Darlene Gibbs, Stephanie Edwards, Lula Williams, Patrick Inscho, Lawrence Mwethuku, George Hengle, Tamara Price, Sharon Burney, Chastity McNeil, Kimetra Brice, Earl Browne, Jill Novorot, and Alicia Patterson shall be awarded \$20,000 each for their efforts, totaling \$260,000.

22. The Court further notes that the amount of requested attorneys’ fees and reimbursement of costs and the dollar amount of the requested Service Awards was included in the notice materials disseminated to the Settlement Class.

23. The award of attorneys’ fees and costs and Service Awards shall be paid from the Monetary Consideration in the Escrow Account no earlier than the Effective Date and no later than ten (10) days of the Effective Date.

#### **OTHER PROVISIONS**

24. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Final Order and Final Judgment. Without in any way affecting the finality of this Final Order or the Final Judgment, this Court expressly retains exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the Settlement and the Settlement Agreement, including all matters relating to the administration, consummation, validity, enforcement, and interpretation of the Settlement Agreement or the Final Order and Judgment, including, without limitation, for the purpose of:

a. enforcing the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement and resolving any disputes, claims or causes of action that, in whole or in part, are related to or arise out of the Settlement Agreement, the Final Order, or the Final Judgment (including, whether a person or entity is or is not a Settlement Class Member);

b. entering such additional orders, if any, as may be necessary or appropriate to protect or effectuate the Final Order, the Final Judgment, or the Settlement Agreement, or to ensure the fair and orderly administration of the Settlement; and

c. entering any other necessary or appropriate orders to protect and effectuate this Court's retention of continuing jurisdiction over the Settlement Agreement, the Final Order, or the Final Judgment.

25. Without affecting the finality of this Final Order or the Final Judgment, the Defendants, each Settlement Class Member, and the Class Administrator hereby irrevocably submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Court for the limited purpose of any suit, action, proceeding, or dispute arising out of the Settlement Agreement or the applicability of the Settlement Agreement, including any suit, action, proceeding, or dispute relating to the Release provisions herein.

26. The Parties are hereby directed to carry out their obligations under the Settlement

Agreement.

27. Without further order of the Court, the Parties may agree to reasonably necessary extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the Settlement Agreement. Likewise, the Parties may, without further order of the Court or notice to the Settlement Class, agree to and adopt such amendments to the Settlement Agreement (including exhibits) as are consistent with this Final Approval Order and that do not limit the rights of Settlement Class Members under the Settlement Agreement.

28. In the event that the Settlement becomes null and void, certification of the Settlement Class shall be automatically vacated and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, as well as all other orders entered and releases delivered in connection with the Settlement Agreement, shall be vacated and shall become null and void, shall be of no further force and effect, and the Parties' rights and defenses shall be restored, without prejudice, to their respective positions as if the Settlement Agreement had never been executed.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: 8/16/2022

/s/ MHL  
**M. Hannah Lauck**  
**United States District Judge**

Hon. M. Hannah Lauck  
United States District Court Judge